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#### Abstract

STUDY OBJECTIVE The assessment of epidemiological situation of measles in WHO European Region during last decade in terms of progress in disease elimination. MATERIALAND METHODS. The surveillance data regarding measles control and vaccination coverage in entire region were compared to the targets that should be achieved at the last stage of measles elimination program. RESULTS. There were not sufficient vaccination coverage to protect population against measles outbreaks in many areas of WHO European Region during last decade. The incidence of measles was significantly higher than is required on that stage of measles elimination i.e. 1/1 000000 excluding imported cases. Furthermore, there were notified 42 fatal cases related to measles during considered period. CONCLUSIONS The insufficient vaccination coverage in many countries of the region causes the sustainable virus transmission in population. That situation lies behind the high probability of measles outbreaks in nearly future, that could delay the disease elimination in the set limit of time.
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## INTRODUCTION

Measles is an infectious disease, characterized by the criteria that enable its eradication. An intermediate stage to eradicate measles is its elimination, that was initially planned by 2007 year in WHO European Region. The disease elimination at a given area means the lack of endemic measles cases and virus transmission in case of its introduction to population. The set limit of time was insufficient to meet the program's objective. The time period to finally complete the program in the region was prolonged up to 2015 . The strategy focuses on the implementation of four components:

- to achieve and maintain high vaccination coverage among children and youth with two doses of measles vaccines (over 95\%) according to routine vaccination schedules,
- to secure the possibility of second dose against measles through additional vaccination initiatives in populations vulnerable to infection,
- to intensify the system of measles surveillance using effective methods of epidemiological investigation with routine laboratory confirmation,
- to improve the access to confirmed information concerning advantages and disadvantages of vaccinations against measles for health professionals and whole society.
Some detailed assumptions of Measles Elimination Program in WHO European Region, as well as its later verification accomplished in 2012 were included in previous papers $(1,2)$.

The aim of the study was the assessment of epidemiological situation of measles in WHO European Region during last decade in terms of progress in disease elimination up to 2015.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

We have analyzed the data of measles epidemiological surveillance in WHO European Region and Poland, registered by WHO and National Institute of Hygiene in Warsaw in 2002 - $2012(3,4)$. The data regarding measles control and vaccination coverage in entire region were compared to the targets that should be achieved at the last stage of measles elimination program.

## RESULTS

Epidemiological situation of measles in WHO European Region has been various for 10 years. In the initial period there were measles outbreaks in the eastern countries of the region, such as: Turkey, Ukraine, Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan. There was a significant increase of measles incidence in France and Italy at that time. In the last few years the outbreaks occurred in Bulgaria (the highest measles incidence during the last decade was notified in $2010-292 / 100000$ ), Romania, a as well as in France and Ukraine again. The highest number of measles cases in the region - over 30000 , was registered in 2011, more than half of them occurred in France. In Poland measles incidence decreased in 2006-2009 (the highest incidence in $2009-0,3 / 100000$ ). There were observed the cyclic trends of measles outbreaks in many countries. At average $4-5$ years after previous outbreak, there was the next one in Ukraine, Romania, France and Italy (tab. I). The number of measles cases in the region relatively decreased in 2012 compared with the previous year, in 9 of the European Union countries there were no reported cases. Nevertheless, some measles outbreaks occurred in the other countries. During the last decade measles epidemiological situation in the WHO European Region significantly differed from incidence that was assumed to achieve in the last stage of the measles elimination program $(<1 / 1000$ 000, excluding imported cases). Moreover, there were still measles-related deaths: 24 - in Bulgaria, 16 - in Romania and 10 in France, cumulatively 42 fatal cases in entire region.

Measles was notified mainly among the youngest children, youth and young adults. It was observed that

Table II. The number of measles cases in Poland according to age group in 2004-2011

| Age <br> group | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2003 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 2 | 7 | 24 | 8 | 23 | 39 | 6 | 1 |
| $5-9$ | 3 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 2 |
| $10-14$ | 3 | 1 | 9 | - | 13 | 13 | 1 | 1 |
| $15-19$ | - | - | 5 | 4 | 21 | 11 | 1 | 4 |
| $20-24$ | 1 | - | 22 | 6 | 12 | 8 | - | 2 |
| $25-29$ | - | - | 34 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 9 |
| $30-34$ | - | - | 9 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| $35-39$ | - | - | 6 | - | 1 | 6 | - | 6 |
| $40-44$ | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |
| $45-49$ | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| $50-54$ | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $55-59$ | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $60+$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 11 | 13 | 120 | 40 | 100 | 115 | 13 | 38 |

the higher measles incidence, the higher rate of cases in adults. The distribution of measles cases by age was in many countries similar to Poland (tab. II). The most of measles cases ( $90 \%$ ) concerned unvaccinated individuals or those with unknown immunization status, approximately $7 \%$ were vaccinated against measles with a single dose and $2 \%$ by two dose schedule. Measles immunization coverage is too low in many countries (less, than required $95 \%$ ), and the lack of immunity particularly concerns young adults. Maintenance of vaccination coverage on current level will preserve a present measles epidemiological situation in the region (fig.1)

Table I. The number of suspected and confirmed measles cases in selected countries of WHO European Region in 2002$2012^{1}$

| Country | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | - | 4 | 8 | 6 | 93 | 33 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 28 | 1 |
| Armenia | - | 4 | 1783 | 528 | 127 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 19 | 21 |
| Austria | 18 | 99 | 16 | 9 | 27 | 23 | 428 | 47 | 48 | 219 | 19 |
| Azerbaijan | 1261 | 1978 | 827 | 1200 | 393 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 12 | 90 | 102 |
| Bulgaria | - | - | - | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 2545 | 21664 | 155 | 1 |
| France | - | - | 4448 | - | 44 | 55 | 618 | 1592 | 5139 | 15214 | 856 |
| Georgia | 199 | 216 | 7033 | 1351 | 334 | 44 | 55 | 23 | 22 | 64 | 44 |
| Spain | - | 256 | 27 | 17 | 349 | 260 | 305 | 43 | 285 | 3508 | 424 |
| Kazakhstan | - | 24 | 2204 | 15745 | 110 | 7 | 24 | - | - | 30 | 54 |
| Moldova | 9334 | 86 | 4 | 6 | 34 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| Germany | - | 779 | 125 | 778 | 2279 | 570 | 937 | 582 | 813 | 1600 | 163 |
| Poland | 34 | 48 | 11 | 13 | 120 | 40 | 100 | 115 | 13 | 38 | 60 |
| Romania | 11 | 1 | 179 | 5373 | 2695 | 417 | 531 | 220 | 359 | 4420 | 3565 |
| Russian Federation | 540 | 2275 | 2470 | 453 | 1147 | 172 | 27 | 101 | 169 | 837 | 1950 |
| Turkey | 7815 | 5844 | 8927 | 6200 | 34 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 105 | 57 |
| Ukraine | 7587 | 411 | 146 | 2392 | 44534 | 986 | 41 | 24 | 42 | 1313 | 12508 |
| Great Britain | - | 471 | 202 | 79 | 773 | 1024 | 1547 | 1380 | 397 | 1083 | 1684 |
| Italy | - | 10998 | 678 | 215 | 581 | 410 | 1619 | 173 | 864 | 5187 | 660 |

${ }^{1}$ - The data include suspected and confirmed cases but the laboratory confirmation rate has been various in analyzed countries with average value about $50 \%$.


Fig.1. The number of measles cases in WHO European Region on the background of vaccination coverage (1st vaccine dose - MVC ${ }^{1}$ )

## DISCUSSION

The current measles epidemiological situation in WHO European Region does not give an optimistic view at the diseases elimination in the immediate years. The occurrence of measles outbreaks provides an evidence of insufficient immunization coverage against measles among children, youth and young adults. That situation enables virus transmission in population. High contagious measles virus leads to outbreaks every few years. They give the possibility to develop natural immunity against measles but with the risk of disease complications, that may occur especially in youngest infants and adults, because a disease is recognized as untypical for these groups of population (5). The current epidemiological situation of measles in WHO European Region seems to be distant from the stage of its eradication, however the fact should not be interpreted as a failure of vaccinations. For example, measles incidence in Poland had been on the average level - 450/ 100000 before 1975, when the vaccination was included to immunization schedule. The highest rate of incidence during the last decade was only $0,3 / 100000$. Unfortunately, the advantageous of epidemiological situation affected decreased public acceptance of measles vaccinations, because it seemed not to be a serious problem for community. The high vaccination coverage against measles, while human body is the only reservoir for the agent, can develop herd immunity (6).

In that case, not only vaccinated people are protected but also those who avoided vaccination. The fact can be recognized as an confirmation of vaccinations' useless for some individuals. The situation may lead to decreased acceptance of vaccinations, as well as, lower vaccinations coverage (7). As a result, the number of adverse effects following immunization also decreases,
what is comfortable for anti- vaccination movement activists. Subsequently, the population of unvaccinated and unimmunized people has significantly increased. Consequently, the measles outbreaks may occur, again. The increased measles incidence develop the real risk to be infected for unimmunized people. Awareness of potential threat mobilize community to be interested in vaccinations (8).

The variable acceptance of vaccinations was observed when triple vaccination against measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) was introduced in order to achieve measles and rubella eradication (with the WHO recommendation). This effect is particularly unfavorable in the last stage of the program of measles elimination. Nevertheless, it is recognized more often recently.

The efficient realization of MMR vaccinations that allows to achieve required immunization coverage is regarded as crucial condition to eliminate measles. Performing the program of measles surveillance is the more essential, the closer to the state of measles elimination, although most countries of WHO European Region have not reached the goal yet. Currently, the achievement of measles elimination by 2015 requires an increase of vaccination coverage in children and youth, using triple MMR vaccines, as well as maintaining the high level of immunization according to routine vaccination schedules. When it is needed, some additional vaccination campaigns should be implemented in order to reduce some measles cases among adults susceptible to the virus infection. The observed declining trend of measles vaccinations must be reversed. Furthermore, it is important to rebuild society's reliance to the vaccinations system and hold it on the suitable level (10). Regrettably, most of WHO European Region countries do not efficiently required vaccinations as United States do. It becomes duty for health professionals to reassure parents to make a good decision concerning vaccina-
tions (11). That is the reason to raise their qualifications regularly in the field of making conversations with parents, especially those who doubt the effectiveness and safety of vaccinations. For more efficient monitoring of progress in measles elimination, there is the improved surveillance needed that should be restricted to lower measles incidence. It should be also emphasized to introduce the indispensable routine laboratory confirmation of measles cases (2).

## SUMMARY

In conclusion, according to our analysis there are many actions to be undertaken in order to achieve measles elimination in WHO European Region by 2015 year. The purpose is possible to achieve suggesting an American Region, where transmission of indigenous measles virus was interrupted in 2002 year. However, too low immunization coverage in many countries of the region creates some conditions to remain virus transmission in population. It threats the measles outbreaks in the immediate future, what may prohibit the achievement of measles elimination on time.
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